

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FEE 14 PM 2: 48 CIVIL DIVISION

		UCTUI VISA marina marina a
DERWIN COCKERHAM,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO
	§	8-11CV0277-B
MATTHEW K. ROSE, CEO OF BNSF	§	• 11UVU277-K
RAILWAY COMPANY, JASON	§	
WATKINS, AND BNSF RAILWAY	§	
COMPANY,	§	
Defendant.	§	

### PLAINTIFF, DERWIN COCKERHAM'S, ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

#### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

**NOW COMES** Derwin Cockerham, hereinafter called Plaintiff, complaining of and about Matthew K. Rose, CEO of BNSF Railway Company; Jason Watkins; and BNSF Railway Company, hereinafter called Defendants, and for cause of action shows unto the Court the following:

#### PARTIES AND SERVICE

- 1. Plaintiff Derwin Cockerham, is a citizen of the United States and the State of Texas and resides in Tarrant County, Texas.
- 2. Defendant, Matthew K. Rose, CEO of BNSF Railway Company, may be served at 2650 Lou Menk Drive, Fort Worth, Texas or wherever he may be found.
- 3. Defendant, Jason Watkins, may be served at 2650 Lou Menk Drive, Fort Worth, Texas or wherever he may be found.
- 4. Defendant BNSF Railway Company may be served by serving CT Corporation System, its agent authorized to accept service at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201-4234.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 5. The action arises under Ttile VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended as hereinafter more fully appears.
- 6. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims discussed below under 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a) because they arise out of the same case or controversy.

#### NATURE OF ACTION

7. This is an action under Title 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e et. seq. and 42 U.S.C. Section 1981 as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of race and color.

#### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

8. All conditions precedent to jurisdiction have occurred or been complied with: a charge of discrimination was filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission within three hundred days of the acts complained of herein and Plaintiff's Complaint is filed within ninety days of Plaintiff's receipt of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's issuance of a right to sue letter.

#### **FACTS**

- 9. Plaintiff was advised in a letter dated July 8, 2010 from Bruce D. Teel, Division Engineer, that his employment was being terminated for charging time that was not worked on May 15, 2010.
- 10. The following is Plaintiff's rendition of non-African Americans being treated differently then him on the same issue:

Caucasian employees are routinely handled in a different manner referencing payroll errors. I was dismissed on July 12 for what is described as errors in time keeping

reports resulting in a potential for me to have received a one-time payment of \$232.00. Due to work overtime that was to be billed for a derailment incident and for which I was called into work, but for work that was not actually performed due to the employer calling in contractors to perform said repairs; and after having requested guidance from my immediate Supervisor, Defendant Jason Watkins, as to whether I should bill the company for my time during this incident, I was instructed by Supervisor Watkins to insert my timesheet electronically into the company's computer system for the work that should have been performed. This oversight was discovered prior to disbursement, which could have been corrected by me, or Defendant Jason Watkins, my immediate supervisor. I did not actually receive any portion of the \$232.00 or any other unauthorized funds. Oddly, prior to my event, when faced with a similar circumstance of a Caucasian employee, Supervisor Watkins went to great lengths to insure that no discipline was considered or assessed. Supervisor Watkins and Mark Degano discovered that employee Justin Vaughn was physically paid and received tangible funds inaccurately; for days that he did not perform service in the amount of approximately (\$1500.00); and, Mr. Watkins and Mr. Degano personally arranged for the erroneous amounts to be repaid or financed back to BNSF via payroll deduction installments extending over several pay periods. Mr. Vaughn was delighted at this arrangement and boasted about the special handling he received. Second, in another similar incident on record involving unauthorized payments to several Caucasian employees, Mr. Watkins again arranged special handling via payroll deductions for these employees after they received payments in excess of their actual hours worked. It is my understanding that Cal

Dodson sometime was responsible for insuring that payroll deductions were used to repay BNSF after he made mistakes on putting time into PARS/PAYROLL. It is also my understanding that not one of these Caucasian employees received any form of discipline or termination.

#### RACE AND COLOR DISCRIMINATION

- 11. Defendants, Matthew K. Rose, CEO of the BNSF Railway Company, Jason Watkins, and BNSF Railway Company, intentionally engaged in unlawful employment practices involving Plaintiff because of his race and color.
- 12. Defendants, Matthew K. Rose, CEO of the BNSF Railway Company, Jason Watkins, and BNSF Railway Company, discriminated against Plaintiff in connection with the compensation, terms, conditions and privileges of employment or limited, segregated or classified Plaintiff in a manner that would deprive or tend to deprive him of any employment opportunity or adversely affect his status because of Plaintiff's race and color in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e (2)(a).
- 13. Defendants, Matthew K. Rose, CEO of the BNSF Railway Company, Jason Watkins, and BNSF Railway Company, classified Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of an equal employment opportunity that was provided to other non-black employees similarly situated in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e (2)(a).
- 14. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants, Matthew K. Rose, CEO of the BNSF Railway Company, Jason Watkins, and BNSF Railway Company, discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of race and color with malice or with reckless indifference to the federal-protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendant, BNSF Railway Company, also violated Plaintiff's rights under 42 U.S.C. Section 1981.

#### RETALIATION BY BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

16. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants, Matthew K. Rose, CEO of the BNSF Railway Company, Jason Watkins, and BNSF Railway Company instituted a campaign of retaliation which included firing Plaintiff from his job. This retaliation was and is due to Plaintiff exercising his rights by opposing a discriminatory practice. Plaintiff suffered damages for which Plaintiff herein sues.

#### **DAMAGES**

- 17. Plaintiff sustained the following damages as a result of the actions and/or omissions of Defendant described hereinabove:
  - a. The loss of his employment with all seniority accrued and benefits earned;
  - b. All reasonable and necessary Attorney's fees incurred by or on behalf of Plaintiff;
  - c. Back pay from the date that Plaintiff was denied equal pay for equal work and interest on the back pay in an amount to compensate Plaintiff as the Court deems equitable and just;
  - d. All reasonable and necessary costs incurred in pursuit of this suit;
  - e. Emotional pain;
  - f. Expert fees as the Court deems appropriate;
  - g. Front pay in an amount the Court deems equitable and just to make Plaintiff whole;
  - h. Inconvenience;
  - i. Interest;
  - i. Loss of enjoyment of life;
  - k. Mental anguish in the past;
  - 1. Mental anguish in the future;

- m. Loss of earnings in the past;
- n. Loss of earning capacity which will, in all probability, be incurred in the future; and
- Loss of benefits.

#### **EXEMPLARY DAMAGES**

18. Plaintiff would further show that the acts and omissions of Defendant complained of herein were committed with malice or reckless indifference to the protected rights of the Plaintiff. In order to punish said Defendant for engaging in unlawful business practices and to deter such actions and/or omissions in the future, Plaintiff also seeks recovery from Defendant for exemplary damages.

#### SPECIFIC RELIEF

- 19. Plaintiff seeks the following specific relief which arises out of the actions and/or omissions of Defendant described hereinabove:
  - a. Prohibit by injunction the Defendant from engaging in unlawful employment practices;
  - b. Rehire Plaintiff; and
  - c. Reinstate Plaintiff to the position and pay grade which Plaintiff held but for the unlawful employment actions of Defendant.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, Derwin Cockerham, respectfully prays that the Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon a final hearing of the cause, judgment be entered for the Plaintiff against Defendant for damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of the Court; exemplary damages, together with interest as allowed by law; costs of court; and such other and further relief to which the Plaintiff may be entitled at law or in equity.

Respectfully submitted,

Derwin Cockerham

Derwin Cockerham, Pro se

502 Fuller Drive

Cedar Hill, Texas 75104

## Case 3:11-cv-00277-B Document 1 Filed 02/14/11 Page 8 of 8 PageID 8 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS 44 (TXND Rev. 2/10)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

· ·	t. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON I	THE REVERSE OF THE FOR			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Cockerham			DEFENDANTS		
Cockernam	Derwin		Kose,11)+	ATINEW	<i>_</i> ;
(b) County of Residence		DATTASRECE	IVED County of Residence o	Athew JASON Thomas Company Frist Listed Defendant	TARRANT
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	•
		רבס		O CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE
Prose		FEB ▮.	4 2011		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name Derwin Co	c, Address, and Telephone Number	1 1	Attorreys (If Known)		
Soa Fulle	Pr DR · · · · i	CLERK U.S. DIS	STRICT COURT	11CV02	77 - N
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (Place an "X" is			<del></del>	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		incipal Place 🗖 4 💢 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2	rincipal Place
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	Another State
74.			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	<b>0</b> 6 <b>0</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Or				
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	□ 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury -	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws	### Q (10) (3 ) (4 % \	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers'  Liability	Injury Product Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health	- 040 Hademark	490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment		370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
of Veteran's Benefits	l _	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge
<ul><li>☐ 190 Other Contract</li><li>☐ 195 Contract Product Liability</li></ul>		☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury		& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Cl. 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters
□ 220 Foreclosure	442 Employment - Race	Sentence Sentence	790 Outel Labor Engation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
<ul><li>240 Torts to Land</li><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li></ul>	Accommodations  444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	: DIMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act  900Appeal of Fee Determination
☐ 290 All Other Real Property		☐ 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	1	Under Equal Access
	l_	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus -		to Justice  950 Constitutionality of
	Other	D 555 Frison Condition	Alien Detainee  465 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		Actions		
V-ORIGIN (Place)	on "V" in One Pro-Collab			<u> </u>	Appeal to District
1 Original 2 Re		Remanded from	Represed anothe	ferred from Grant 6 Multidistr	ict 🗖 7 Judge from Magistrate
			iling (Do not cite jurisdictions	IY)	Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		71+1e VII Civi			
	•	LACE DI	scrimination		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$ UNKNOW N	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instruction	ns)			
PENDING OR CLOS		JUDGE —-		— DOCKET NUMBER—	
DATE A L		f		DOCKLI NOMBER	
DATE 2/14/11	-00	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		verin 60, con	exert com a pros	. <del>L</del>	
RECEIPT #	MOUNT -	— APPLYING IFP	HIDGE —	MAG IIII	OGF